UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,085	04/11/2001	Yao Liang	ALCATEL 132489	8867
24587 ALCATEL US	7590 01/26/200°	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 PLANO, TX 75075			RUDY, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/26/2007		01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		A I Al Al	A 11			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/833,085	LIANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timution and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
D :	·	A parto Quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/833,085

Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's November 1, 2006 Amendment and REMARKS has been reviewed. The previous art rejection regarding Johnson, US 5,712,989, and the 35 USC 112, second paragraph, are withdrawn pursuant thereto. Claims 21-34 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's prior art disclosure, e.g. June 12, 2006 Amendment referencing Applicant's specification, page 1, lines 18-25, encompasses in broad scope and content Applicant's claim language. It is noted that Applicant's claim language is not limited to an one physical network management system. The fact is that two separate physical network management systems may be used to implement the claim language and fully encompass such. The term integral is not present in the claim language. Even if this term were included, it would include two separate physical network management systems. Applicant's November 1, 2006 Amendment and REMARKS has been reviewed, but are not convincing. Applicant's specification, page 1, lines 18-25 is admitted prior art. Thus, Applicant's REMARKS that the June 12, 2006 Amendment is not prior art is superfluous. It is the body of the what is contained from Applicant's specification that constitutes the prior art. Applicant provides no arguments to refute this prior art.

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Hughes et al., US 5,959,275.

Hughes discloses an asset management system comprising scanning a serial or part number, e.g. 212, MPN, into the hand held client, a radio link, an on-line sub-object, e.g. display screen, an off-line sub-object, e.g. equipment database, bay, rack, shelf and slot. It is noted the repair status of the asset is inherent with equipment databases, while the database may be viewed as both a statistical and inventory report.

5. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stark et al., US 7,058,154.

Stark discloses an asset management system comprising an interactive database, e.g. 20, an on-line sub-object, e.g. computer display screen generating contingency outage schedules, an off-line sub-object, e.g. components.

6. Further pertinent references of interest: see the attached PTO-892.

Art Unit: 3627

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> idrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627